

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,936	10/10/2001	Craig P. Jacobson	IB-1405	1117	
8076	7590 01/12/2004		EXAMINER		
	CE BERKELEY NATION	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J			
	OTRON ROAD, MAIL STO TY OF CALIFORNIA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BERKELEY	, CA 94720		1745 DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-									
			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/974,936	JACOBSON ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Stephen J. Kalafut	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r		DMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 The first communication. Than thirty (30) days, a replete maximum statutory period wind for reply will, by statute the months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Modulation to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comr ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on <u>28 C</u>	ctober 2003.	•					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b)∐ This	action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-36 and 38 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
-	on Papers	to roomon andro	, orostori rodaji oritoriti						
9) 10)	The specification is objected The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s)	is/are: a) acc any objection to the including the correct	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawir	•	• •				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	•							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen				,					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT		5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Art Unit: 1745

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Misawa *et al.* (US 5,336,569) or Singh *et al.* (US 5,389,456).

This claim is in product-by-process format, and thus reads on a tubular or hexagonal article with the recited three layers, as long as the layers themselves have a structure which results from being sintered, regardless of the details of the sintering process. See MPEP 2113 and the cases cited therein. Misawa *et al.* disclose a tubular fuel cell (55), which includes two electrodes (57, 59) on opposite sides of an electrolyte (58), thus forming the present tri-layer structure. The fuel cell may also have a hexagonal cross section (column 12, lines 50-53). Since the fuel cell of Misawa *et al.* is of the solid oxide type, (column 7, lines 43-54), made of ceramic materials, its components would have a sintered structure, no matter when each component was sintered. Thus, this claim is indistinguishable from the cell of Misawa *et al.*

Singh *et al.* disclose a tubular fuel cell including two electrodes (16, 20) on opposite sides of an electrolyte (18), deposited as layers by a sintering technique (column 6, lines 25-26). Thus, while the sintering of the layers is not taught to be simultaneous, the present sintered structure still results. Thus, this product claim would be anticipated by Singh *et al.*

Application/Control Number: 09/974,936

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1-36 and 38 are allowed. The present process, as noted in the previous Office Action regarding claims 20 and 21, is not disclosed by the newly applied prior art. Claims 35 and 36 are also in product-by-process format, but recite details not disclosed by the newly applied prior art.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is noted that original claim 37, depending on original claim 1, did not require a tubular structure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,936

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

sjk

Page 4